

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/649,409	- 08/27/2003	Karl Schrodinger	16274.164	6421	
22913 7	7590 11/14/2006		. EXAM	INER	
	NYDEGGER		BELLO, A	BELLO, AGUSTIN	
60 EAST SOU	KMAN NYDEGGER & S TH TEMPLE	SEELEY)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	GATE TOWER	•	2613		
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	4		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	. •
	10/649,409	SCHRODINGER, KA	RL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Agustin Bello	2613	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become	ICATION.  To reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this commandation  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) T 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal ma	•	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 17-31 and 33-35 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17-31 and 33-35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 10) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subject to a splicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Irawn from consideration.  d/or election requirement.  iner.  accepted or b) □ objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya section is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documed 2. Certified copies of the priority documed 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed application from the International Bured * See the attached detailed Office action for a life to the certified copies of the priority documed application from the International Bured * See the attached detailed Office action for a life to the certified copies of the priority documed application from the International Bured * See the attached detailed Office action for a life to the certified copies of the priority documed application from the International Bured * See the attached detailed Office action for a life to the certified copies of the priority documed application from the International Bured * See the attached detailed Office action for a life to the certified copies of the priority documed application from the International Bured * See the attached detailed Office action for a life to the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documed application from the International Bured * See the attached detailed Office action for a life to the certified copies of the cer	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/30/03.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/649,409 Page 2

Art Unit: 2613

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/02/06 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 17-28, and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ewen (U.S. Patent No. 6,862,322) in view of Williams (U.S. Patent No. 4,574,249).

Regarding claim 17, Ewen teaches an optical reception device (reference numeral 101 in Figure 3A); and an amplifier (reference numeral 102 in Figure 3A) connected to said reception device; said amplifier having a gain (inherent); and said amplifier including at least one control terminal (reference numeral 301 in Figure 3A) for changing said gain of said amplifier between at least two gain values (e.g. by virtue of changing the impedance of the transimpedance amplifier via the control line). Ewen differs from the claimed invention in that Ewen fails to specifically teach that at least one of the at least two gain values is optimized for maximum sensitivity. However, Williams teaches that optimizing at least one of the at least two gain

Application/Control Number: 10/649,409

Art Unit: 2613

values for maximum sensitivity is well known in the art (column 20 lines 27-28). One skilled in the art would have been motivated to optimize at least one of the at least two gain values for maximum sensitivity since doing so extends the dynamic range of the receiver without sacrificing sensitivity (column 19 lines 31-37 of Williams). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize at least one of the at least two gain values for maximum sensitivity.

Regarding claim 18, Ewen teaches the receiver circuit according to claim 17, wherein said amplifier is a transimpedance amplifier (reference numeral 102 in Figure 3A).

Regarding claim 19, Ewen teaches that said amplifier has a feedback impedance (reference numeral 314 in Figure 3B) for influencing said gain of said amplifier.

Regarding claim 20, Ewen teaches that said feedback impedance (reference numeral 314 in Figure 3B) has an impedance value that is set by a signal at said control terminal (reference numeral 202 in Figure 3B).

Regarding claim 21, Ewen teaches that said feedback impedance (reference numeral 314 in Figure 3B) has a resistance value that is set by a signal at said control terminal (reference numeral 202 in Figure 3B).

Regarding claims 22 and 25, Ewen teaches that said feedback impedance is formed by an impedance network with at least one switching device (reference numeral 314 in Figure 3B) that is switched by said signal at said control terminal (reference numeral 202 in Figure 3B) and said switching device (reference numeral 314 in Figure 3B) alters said impedance of said feedback impedance (reference numeral 314 in Figure 3B) when said switching device is switched.

Regarding claim 23 and 26, Ewen teaches that said switching device is formed by a switching transistor (reference numeral 203 in Figure 2B).

Regarding claim 24 and 27, Ewen differs from the claimed invention in that Ewen fails to specifically teach that said switching transistor (reference numeral 203 in Figure 2B) is a MOS-FET transistor or a bipolar transistor. However, Ewen discloses that the use of other types of devices besides the N-type FET shown can be used, thereby suggesting either MOS-FET transistor or a bipolar transistor. Furthermore, both MOS-FET transistor and a bipolar transistor are very well known in the art and readily available. Moreover, Williams teaches the use of MOSFET transistor in a similar receiver (column 19 lines 65-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to employ either MOS-FET transistors or bipolar transistors in the device of Ewen without departing from the spirit of the invention.

Regarding claim 28, Ewen teaches that said reception device is a photodiode (reference numeral 101 in Figure 3A).

Regarding claim 34, Ewen teaches that the at least two gain values are selected to be individually adapted to transmission rates selected from the group of 1 Gbps, 2 Gbps, and 4 Gbps (column 3 lines 31-39).

Regarding claim 35, the combination of Ewen and Williams teaches that the sensitivity is optical sensitivity (inherent in both Ewen and Williams).

4. Claims 29-30 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ewen in view of Williams as applied to claim 17, and further in view of Lewis (U.S. Patent No. 7,002,131).

Application/Control Number: 10/649,409 Page 5

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claims 29, 30, and 33, Ewen differs from the claimed invention in that Ewen fails to specifically teach a package for packaging said optical reception device and said amplifier; said package being a T0-46 package, a TSSOPIO package, or a VQFN20 package, wherein the package has a terminal pin forming the control terminal. However, Lewis teaches that incorporating a receiver like that of the combination of Ewen and Williams into a TO-46 package that includes a terminal pin is well known in the art (column 3 lines 57-63). One skilled in the art would have been motivated to use a TO-46 package due to its thin outline. Furthermore, Ewen discloses that the invention can be implemented in any physical package (column 3 lines 4-10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to surround the receiving circuit in package, said package being one of the well known and readily available T0-46 package, a TSSOPIO package, or a VQFN20 package, and include in the package a terminal pin forming the control terminal.

5. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ewen in view of Gaboury (U.S. Patent No. 5,498,865).

Regarding claim 31, Ewen teaches prescribing a gain value for an amplifier of the receiver circuit in dependence on a bandwidth prescribed for the receiver circuit; setting the gain value of the amplifier at a control terminal of the amplifier; and after setting the gain value of the amplifier, using the amplifier to amplify an output signal of an optical reception device (column 5 line 63 – column 6 line 22). Ewen differs from the claimed invention in that Ewen fails to specifically teach determining the gain value in accordance with an equation: V = K/B, K specifying a maximum achievable bandwidth-gain product previously determined for the receiver circuit and B denoting the bandwidth prescribed for the receiver circuit. However,

Gaboury teaches that optical receiver circuits such as that claimed by applicant and taught by Ewen are known to be governed by the equation V = K / B (column 1 lines 16-43). That being so, one skilled in the art would have determined the gain value in accordance with the equation claimed by applicant and shown by Gaboury as being well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to determine the gain value in the device of Ewen in accordance with the equation claimed.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Agustin Bello whose telephone number is (571) 272-3026. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571)272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 7

Agustin Bello Primary Examiner Art Unit 2613

AB